

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/659,416	ASTALA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PHUOC NGUYEN	2443	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PHUOC NGUYEN. (3) ____.

(2) Chad Thorson (Reg. No.55,675). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 08 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 66 and 67.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, the examiner suggested applicant's representative to incorporate the subject matter of claims 67 into all independent claims would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to do so and authorized examiner to perform the examiner amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/PHUOC NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443	
---	--